



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAERI_32910
Title of the Manuscript:	Contribution of Women to Household Expenditure in Rural Communities of Ughelli North Local Government Area of Delta State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Motivation of the study is missing – why this study? What issue or problem is to be solved from the study? The paper could be improved by including analysis of determinants or factors influencing women contribution on expenditure. Regression analysis could be applied given the data collected. Sampling: author went on selection male headed household and interviewed women members – this could be ok. But one would wonder why only male headed household? Since the study is about women, it could be good to include also female headed households. There is need to say why only headed household! The paper is missing comparability between gender. It could be good if analysis is done on both men and women. This will be good to show how women are over men on expenditure and vice versa. This is coming out further to lack of study motivation noted above. Table 4 is indicating % contribution by women is less than 50% in all items analysed. This contradicts results in Table 3 and conclusion made in this paper	This has been worked on. That is a topic for further research I chose male headed households because they are not the sole contributors. A person can only contribute to a project when there is/are other contributor(s). In female headed households they are the sole contributors unless where they have dependants who are old enough to help. This is not a comparative study There is no contradiction. Table 3 shows how many women contribute to each area while Table 4 shows how much they contribute.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		